



SPECIAL EDUCATION DIVISION
QUARTERLY REPORT – THIRD QUARTER 2005-06
January 1, 2006 – March 31, 2006

Effective June 1, 2005, the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) entered into an interagency agreement with the California Department of Education (CDE) to administer the mandated special education dispute resolution program. That agreement obligates OAH to provide certain specified dispute resolution services in conformance with 20 U.S.C. section 1415 et seq., and California Education Code section 56500.1 et seq., as well as requires OAH to collect particular data on the operation of the program. OAH is obligated to report on that data quarterly.

The interagency agreement further contemplates that during the 2005-06 fiscal year the operation of the special education dispute resolution program will be transitioned to OAH from the University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law (McGeorge or SEHO), which will also provide particular dispute resolution services during the 2005-06 fiscal year. To the extent that the data reported herein relies on or relates to McGeorge's activities during or prior to the completion of the transition period, OAH cannot vouch for its accuracy because OAH was neither privy to nor had oversight over McGeorge's operation.

During the first three quarters of the 2005-06 fiscal year, OAH's obligations under the interagency agreement have undergone a number of modifications. These modifications have resulted in significant, unanticipated changes in the nature and volume of the workload for which OAH is responsible. This too has resulted in some anomalies in the data.

The agreement, as originally entered, required OAH to provide hearings for all cases filed after June 30, 2005, for which a hearing was required. OAH was also obligated to take over all matters (hearings or mediations) still pending with McGeorge on June 30, 2006. Thereafter, from July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2008, OAH was required to provide all the services necessary to appropriately administer the special education dispute resolution program, as called for by the agreement and all applicable laws.

At the time the agreement was entered into, OAH was not obligated to provide any dispute resolution services (hearings or mediations) for cases filed prior to July 1, 2005. Also, the agreement precluded OAH from providing mediation services for any case filed between July 1, 2005, and December 31, 2005. OAH was required to refer those matters to McGeorge.

At CDE's request, OAH agreed to amend the agreement in two respects. First, OAH would agree to provide hearings for all cases in which a hearing was required and had not been commenced on or before the close of business on June 30, 2005. That included cases which had been filed with McGeorge prior to July 1, 2005, and for which OAH was not responsible under the original agreement.

Second, OAH would agree that commencing on January 1, 2006, it would provide mediation services for all cases unresolved as of that date. That included cases which had been filed with, or referred to McGeorge prior to December 31, 2005, and for which OAH was not responsible under the original agreement.

OAH agreed to assume these additional responsibilities because CDE advised that it was unable to reach an agreement with McGeorge to handle this work and because OAH is committed to making sure that the special education dispute resolution program is fully available to California's special education students. That includes those students whose cases would have been lost or unduly delayed had OAH not agreed to take on this additional work.

Apart from the increased workload associated with conducting the additional and unanticipated special education mediations and hearings, the manner and timing of transferring the cases from McGeorge to OAH has been difficult. It has necessitated countless hours inventorying, reviewing and processing all of the many hundreds of McGeorge case files, some dating back a number of years.¹ OAH has reprioritized its operation to process these "pipeline" cases in a way designed to best address the pressing needs of the children involved in these additional cases.

As noted above, OAH agreed to provide certain specified dispute resolution services. Such services include conducting mediations and/or hearings in special education cases, as well as addressing all the procedural matters attendant to those proceedings. Matters to be resolved in those proceedings generally include issues related to the identification, eligibility, assessment, and/or educational placement of a student as they relate to his or her right to a free appropriate public education.

As a procedural matter, the parties (usually parents and school districts) to a special education dispute may seek a resolution of their disagreement through mediation prior to filing a request for a hearing. They may also engage in informal, non-adversarial means of resolution after a request for hearing has been filed, or even after a hearing has commenced. Resolution sessions are initially convened by local educational agencies, without the assistance of OAH within 15 days of receiving the parents' hearing request. If such a resolution session does not resolve the parties' dispute, then the parties may pursue mediation and/or participate in settlement conferences conducted by an administrative law

¹ McGeorge encouraged the use of "off calendar" status to continue a matter while mediation efforts were undertaken or the parties attempted to reach a negotiated settlement on their own. These matters typically remained off calendar until one party asked that the matter be placed back on calendar for a hearing. The approximately 1,000 pipeline cases received by OAH from McGeorge in the last 6 months of 2005 included cases that had been off calendar and were going back "on calendar" for a hearing, as well as cases that had been settled while at McGeorge but needed a dismissal order issued by OAH which had exclusive authority to do so after July 1, 2005. During the same period, OAH, as required by the interagency agreement with CDE, referred virtually all new case filings to McGeorge. These matters were also generally placed in "off calendar" status by McGeorge. This resulted in a large number of cases with no scheduled hearings. Since receiving these cases on January 1, 2006, OAH has diligently worked to determine the status of each of the McGeorge pipeline cases. It is expected that by June 30, 2006, each of these pipeline cases will either be placed back on calendar or closed, depending on the status of the case.

judge from OAH. The administrative law judges at OAH are trained and experienced in resolving cases through these informal means. The vast majority of cases resolve through such informal processes, which obviates the need for the more formal and adversarial hearing process.

To put this in perspective, for the quarter addressed in this report, 31 cases were resolved pursuant to a hearing out of the 865 cases that were closed. Thus, approximately 96.5 percent of the cases were resolved without the need for a hearing.

To gain a better picture of this dispute resolution process this report provides figures for the third quarter of 2005-06, including numbers related to new case filings, case closures, mediations and due process hearings.²

At the present time, there are approximately 1200 matters pending before OAH. This figure is arrived at by adding the total number of cases previously reported, plus the new filings over the third quarter of 2005-06, less the number of closed cases. This report necessarily focuses on data collected over the third quarter of 2005-06. Generally speaking, case filing data looks at the universe of new cases filed on or after January 1, 2006. However, data on closed cases includes cases filed prior to January 1, 2006, including cases filed with McGeorge prior to July 1, 2005. As data is collected over a longer time period, OAH will be better positioned to provide a more accurate picture of how cases have resolved since OAH assumed responsibility for conducting mediation and due process hearings in special education cases.

I. Case Filings.

The total number of new case filings for the period of January 1, 2006 through March 31, 2006 was 883. The monthly break out follows:

² Under the terms of the interagency agreement OAH is to provide CDE with a quarterly report on information relating to mediations, due process hearings and other information described in the section of the agreement relating to data collection and reporting. It is anticipated that about 4,600 cases will be filed with OAH's Special Education Division in fiscal year 2005-06. As noted in the previous report, the total number of filings of special education cases through December 2005 was 2,275.

	January	February	March	First Quarter 2006
Student Filed Cases	322	191	192	705
District Filed Cases	23	21	27	71
Expedited Cases	4	4	10	18
Mediation Only Requests	51	16	22	89
Total Number of New Cases	400	232	251	883

Of the combined total of 883 new cases, the largest number involves the Los Angeles Unified School District with 305 cases or 34.5 percent of total cases filed. This is followed by San Diego Unified School District with 21 new requests, Capistrano Unified School District with 16 requests, Newport-Mesa Unified School District with 14 new requests, Tustin and San Francisco Unified School Districts with 13 new requests each, and Long Beach Unified School District with 11 new requests. A complete breakdown by school district is attached and found at the end of this report.

II. Closed Cases.

Between January 1, 2006, and March 31, 2006, 865 cases were closed. These include cases that were dismissed, withdrawn by request of the parties, or that were resolved successfully prior to or after hearing. Of the 865 closed cases, 366 were cases that were initially filed with SEHO, and 499 were cases that were filed with OAH on or after July 1, 2005. Of the 865 cases closed during this quarter, 41 percent settled outside mediation and/or a resolution session and 17 percent settled in mediation,³ for a total settlement rate of 57 percent. Success of the IDEA mandated resolution was minimal with only 12 cases being reported resolved in the mandated resolution session. A number of cases (16 percent) were withdrawn by the petitioner without a stated reason for withdrawal. A summary of the disposition status of these cases follows:

³ Settlement during mediation is a case closure category, not a measure of success at mediation. For example, it does not encompass the significant number of matters that settled after progress toward settlement was made during mediation. The total number of closed cases also includes pipeline cases transferred to OAH after an unsuccessful mediation. Future quarterly reports will contain data on mediation success rates from the time a case is filed with OAH.

	OAH Cases	SEHO Cases ⁴
Settled Outside Mediation or Resolution Session	243	105
Settled in Mediation	114	32
Settled in Settlement Conference	0	3
Resolved through Resolution Session	12 ⁵	0 ⁶
Withdrawn by Petitioner	91	48
Dismissed by Order of Administrative Law Judge	9	2
Dismissed via Notice of Insufficiency	26	0
Dismissed due to Inactivity	4	176
Total Number of Closed Cases	499	366

As earlier noted, OAH devoted considerable time and resources to identifying aged SEHO cases that were in off calendar status and placing those matters back on calendar. Status letters were sent to all parties for cases that were in off calendar status. Approximately 21 percent of cases were dismissed due to a failure to respond to the status letter. A very small percentage of cases (.03 percent) were dismissed through the Notice of Insufficiency (NOI) process. This process was added by amendments to the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) on July 1, 2005. It permits a party to challenge the sufficiency of a due process request (or “complaint” in the language of the IDEA). The NOI challenge must be made to OAH within 15 days and OAH has five days to rule on the sufficiency of the complaint. The number of NOIs and their disposition is noted in the statistics relating to law and motion set forth in section VI below.

III. Mediations.

OAH began holding mediations on January 1, 2006. For the third quarter of 2005-06, OAH received 883 mediation requests, of which 794 were related to due process hearings, and 89 were mediation-only requests. The latter are requests for mediation by parties who are not also seeking a due process hearing.⁷ Mediations are pending in the bulk of these cases. Yet of those held, there have been 146 successful outcomes, 136 in mediations related to due process hearings, and 10 in mediation-only matters.⁸

⁴ These were cases initially filed with SEHO before July 1, 2005, for which OAH ultimately assumed responsibility as explained above.

⁵ This figure appears to be understated. OAH does not always receive notice when cases resolve during resolution session and there is no requirement that parties communicate outcomes of resolutions sessions to OAH. The reported figure of 12 is based upon documentation contained in files that were closed over the first quarter of calendar year 2006.

⁶ Amendments to the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) included a mandatory resolution session for all requests for due process hearings filed by parents. The amendments became effective July 1, 2005, so cases filed with SEHO were not subject to this requirement.

⁷ During the first quarter of calendar year 2006, 29 mediation-only cases were closed.

⁸ Although not required by either the interagency agreement or applicable law, in the future OAH will update the data on mediations with a “pull-out” report for the quarter which more precisely tracks the dispositions in each of the mediations actually conducted. The report will include those which resulted in a mediation agreement on the day of the mediation, those in which the parties entered into an “interim agreement” (typically to conduct additional assessments, view a proposed placement, or conduct an additional individualized education program

The pertinent mediation only statistics are as follows:

Total Number of Mediation Requests	883
Number of Mediation-Only Requests	89
Number of Mediation requests related to Due Process Hearings ⁹	794
Number of Mediation-Only Requests Resolved through Mediation	10
Number of Mediations related to Due Process hearing resolved through Mediation	136
Total Number of Pending Mediations ¹⁰	737

IV. Due Process Hearings.

During the third quarter of 2005-06, OAH received 794 new requests for a due process hearing and 509 cases were resolved through settlement agreements reached between the parties. Due process hearings were held in 31 cases which resulted in a final written decision. Copies of all decisions have been provided to CDE and also posted on the OAH website. The decisions average 14.4 pages in length. The longest decision was 24 pages and the shortest was 3 pages. The average length of hearing was 3.4 days. The length of hearings ranged from one to seven days.

Students had positive outcomes in more than a quarter of the cases heard. Of the cases heard, 3 cases (10 percent) were decided fully in favor of the student, 23 cases (74 percent) were decided in favor of the district, and 5 cases (16 percent) were split decisions. Students were represented by an attorney or advocate in 21 cases (68 percent) and unrepresented in 10 cases (32 percent). Districts were represented by an attorney or advocate in 27 cases (87 percent) and were unrepresented in 4 cases (13 percent).

Cases involving preschool students represented 6 percent of the cases heard, with 48 percent involving elementary school students, 19 percent involving middle school students, and 27 percent involving high school students. The issues for hearing involved students identified as specific learning disabled (9 cases), autistic-like (8 cases), other health impaired (7 cases), emotionally disturbed (3 cases), deaf (1 case), mentally retarded (1 case), speech and language disabled (1 case), and blind (1 case).

The districts brought two cases seeking approval to conduct assessments of students. The other issues addressed at hearing included denial of “free appropriate public education”

(IEP) meeting), those that concluded with agreement in principle to be reduced to an enforceable written agreement, and those in which parties remained at impasse and a due process hearing was anticipated.

⁹ In no case did the parties waive mediation at the time of requesting a due process hearing. For the fourth quarter of 2005-06, OAH will track the number of due process hearing and mediation requests in which mediation was initially requested but waived prior to hearing.

¹⁰ This number represents the total number of cases requested, less the number of cases settled. In actuality, the total number of pending cases is a combination of the total number of cases reported previously plus the new filings reported here, less the number of closed cases. Based on that calculation, it is estimated that OAH currently has approximately 1200 matters pending.

(FAPE) claims (68 percent), eligibility for special education services (13 percent), and validity of assessments (29 percent). Of the remedies requested, 26 percent of cases requested reimbursement for services, 19 percent requested reimbursement for assessments, and 26 percent requested compensatory education.

Of the 31 decisions issued in the third quarter of 2005-06, 9 were rendered within the 45 day or extended timelines. Of the 31 decisions issued, 19 involved cases filed with SEHO, and 12 involved cases originated with OAH. For the 19 cases originated with SEHO the average timeline for decision was 42 days. For the cases originated with OAH, the average timeline for decision was 21 days.

Specific hearing statistics are summarized below:

Total Number of Hearing Requests ¹¹	883
Number of Cases resolved through settlement	509
Number of Decisions Issued	31
Number of Decisions within 45 day timeline	0
Number of Decisions within extended timeline	9
Number of Decisions issued after timelines and extension expired	22
Number of pending matters ¹²	1200
Number of expedited Hearings	2
Number of Hearing Requests resolved without a hearing	865

V. Expedited Hearing Requests

For the third quarter of 2005-06, OAH received 18 requests for expedited hearings. An expedited hearing is a hearing that involves a parent's challenge to the school district's determination that misconduct was not the "manifestation" of the student's disability or the disciplinary placement. Under the IDEA, expedited hearings are to be held within 20 school days of the request for hearing. A decision in expedited cases is to be issued within 10 schools days after the hearing is concluded. Two cases proceeded to hearing on the expedited issues and 9 cases were settled without the need for a hearing. The specific statistics related to expedited hearings are as follows:

¹¹ This number represents the total number of new cases requested.

¹² As discussed in footnote 10 above.

Total Number of Expedited Hearing Requests	18
Number of Settlement Agreements	6
Number of Expedited Hearings Held	2
Number of change of placement ordered	0

VI. Motions

Prehearing motions and Notices of Insufficiency (NOI) comprise a substantial amount of the work completed by administrative law judges. For the first quarter 2006, 264 prehearing motions and 141 NOIs were considered and ruled upon by OAH. The breakdown of NOIs is as follows:

	Sufficient	Insufficient	Partially Sufficient	Other ¹³	Total
NOI	43	47	12	1	103
2 nd NOI	16	11	1	0	25
3 rd NOI	9	4	0	0	13
4 th NOI	1	0	0	0	1

Motions for a stay put order, dismissal or continuance comprise nearly half of motions heard by OAH. The breakout of motions by type and number received is set forth below:

Type Of Motion	Number Received
Add Party	11
Amend Complaint	17
Compel Production	2
Consolidate	17
Continue	39
Dismiss Case	41
Dismiss Party	19
Dismiss Issues	11
Expedite	5
Extend Time	14
Reconsideration	17
Reopen	8
Stay Put	44
Miscellaneous	19
Total	264

¹³ Represents cases that were withdrawn or settled before a ruling on the NOI was issued.

The above data provides an accurate picture of the special education dispute resolution process over the third quarter of 2005-06. OAH has successfully processed 865 cases through closure during this period, and issued hearing decisions in 31 other cases. Thus, 96.5 percent were resolved without the need for a due process hearing and decision. The vast majority of cases clearly resolve through informal processes, and without the need for more formal adjudication and decision. OAH has also diligently worked to address the considerable backlog of SEHO pipeline cases it inherited, all of which are expected to be placed back on calendar or closed by June 30, 2006. At the present time, there are approximately 1200 matters pending before OAH's Special Education Division.

ATTACHMENT ONE

NUMBER OF CASES FILED BY SCHOOL DISTRICT

School District	Filings 01/01/06 To 03/31/06
LOS ANGELES U.S.D.	305
SAN DIEGO U.S.D.	21
CAPISTRANO U.S.D.	16
NEWPORT-MESA USD	14
SAN FRANCISCO U.S.D.	13
TUSTIN U.S.D.	13
LONG BEACH U.S.D.	11
POWAY U.S.D.	9
ANAHEIM U.H.S.D.	9
COMPTON U.S.D.	9
TEMECULA VALLEY U.S.D.	9
SANTA ANA U.S.D.	9
PLACENTIA-YORBA LINDA U.S.D.	8
MURRIETA VALLEY U.S.D.	8
NATOMAS U.S.D.	7
ELK GROVE U.S.D.	7
SACRAMENTO CITY U.S.D.	7
YUCAIPA-CALIMESA JT. U.S.D.	7
WEST CONTRA COSTA USD	6
DUBLIN U.S.D.	6
CORONA-NORCO USD	6
ANTELOPE VALLEY U.H.S.D.	6
CHULA VISTA E.S.D.	6
IRVINE U.S.D.	6

MORENO VALLEY U.S.D.	5
CLOVIS U.S.D.	5
CONEJO VALLEY U.S.D.	5
VISTA U.S.D.	5
REDWOOD CITY E.S.D.	5
SAN RAMON VALLEY U.S.D.	5
SANTA MONICA-MALIBU USD	5
SIMI VALLEY U.S.D.	5
SADDLEBACK VALLEY U.S.D.	4
SAN DIEGUITO UNION H.S.D.	4
SAN JUAN U.S.D.	4
WESTSIDE UNION E.S.D.	4
SOUTH PASADENA U.S.D.	4
TORRANCE U.S.D.	4
CULVER CITY U.S.D.	4
EAST WHITTIER CITY S.D.	4
FAIRFIELD SUISUN U.S.D.	4
CHINO VALLEY U.S.D.	4
PASADENA U.S.D.	4
ORANGE USD	4
PALMDALE S.D.	4
JURUPA U.S.D	4
MANHATTAN BEACH U.S.D.	4
MODESTO CITY SCHOOLS	4
MANTECA U.S.D.	3
LANCASTER S.D.	3
LAS VIRGENES U.S.D.	3
HEMET U.S.D.	3
HOLLISTER S.D.	3
HUNTINGTON BEACH U.H.S.D.	3
PAJARO VALLEY U.S.D.	3
PALM SPRINGS U.S.D.	3
MT. DIABLO USD	3
OAKLAND U.S.D.	3
OCEAN VIEW S.D.	3
BONITA U.S.D.	3
DUARTE UNIFIED S.D.	3
FREMONT U.S.D.	3
GARDEN GROVE U.S.D.	3
GARVEY S.D.	3
GLENDALE U.S.D.	3
EAST SIDE UNION H.S.D.	3
CUPERTINO UNION S.D.	3
SEQUOIA U.H.S.D.	3
VALLEJO CITY U.S.D.	3
SWEETWATER UNION H.S.D.	3
VENTURA U.S.D.	3
SAN JOSE USD	3
REDLANDS U.S.D.	3
SANTA CLARA USD	2

SAUGUS UNION S.D.	2
SAN LEANDRO U.S.D.	2
RIO LINDA UNION S.D.	2
RIVERSIDE U.S.D.	2
WILLIAM S. HART UHSD	2
WOODLAND JT. U.S.D.	2
SYLVAN UNION S.D.	2
TAMALPAIS UNION H.S.D.	2
TEHACHAPI U.S.D.	2
STOCKTON U.S.D.	2
VALLEY CENTER U.S.D.	2
FRESNO U.S.D.	2
FULLERTON ELEMENTARY S.D.	2
FULLERTON JOINT UNION H.S.D.	2
ESCONDIDO U.S.D.	2
FOLSOM CORDOVA USD	2
BELMONT-REDWOOD SHORES E.S.D.	2
BERKELEY U.S.D.	2
BERRYESSA U.S.D.	2
BEVERLY HILLS U.S.D.	2
ALHAMBRA S.D.	2
CAMPBELL U.H.S.D.	2
CHAFFEY JT. U.H.S.D.	2
CARLSBAD U.S.D.	2
BANNING U.S.D	2
BYRON UNION S.D.	2
NORTH SACRAMENTO S.D.	2
HAYWARD U.S.D.	2
MORGAN HILL USD	2
OXNARD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	2
PALO ALTO U.S.D.	2
PALO VERDE U.S.D.	2
PALOS VERDES PENINSULA USD	2
PLEASANT VALLEY SD (CAMARILLO)	2
PARAMOUNT U.S.D.	2
POMONA U.S.D.	2
HORIZON INTRUCT SYST CHT SCHL	2
HUNTINGTON BEACH CITY S.D.	2
LAWNDALE S. D.	2
KERN H.S.D.	2
LA CANADA U.S.D.	2
MOJAVE UNIFIED S.D.	2
MONROVIA U.S.D.	2
MONTEREY PENINSULA USD	2
MORAGA ELEM SCH DIST	1
LOWELL JT. S.D.	1
LUCIA MAR U.S.D.	1
MONTEBELLO USD	1
LAS LOMITAS E.S.D.	1

LOS ALTOS U.S.D.	1
MARTINEZ U.S.D.	1
MENIFEE UNION ESD	1
LA MESA-SPRING VALLEY S.D.	1
LAKE ELSINORE U.S.D.	1
LIBERTY UNION H.S.D.	1
LODI U.S.D.	1
IMPERIAL U.S.D.	1
HOLTVILLE U.S.D.	1
HERMOSA BEACH CITY E.S.D.	1
RANCHO SANTA FE S.D.	1
PLEASANTON U.S.D.	1
PASO ROBLES JOINT UNIFED S.D.	1
PERRIS U.H.S.D.	1
PANAMA-BUENA VISTA UNION S.D.	1
PACIFICA SCHOOL DISTRICT	1
MORONGO U.S.D.	1
NEVADA CO. OFFICE OF ED.	1
NEW HAVEN U.S.D.	1
NEWARK U.S.D.	1
NEWHALL SCH DIST	1
NORWALK/LA MIRADA U.S.D.	1
NORTH MONTEREY CO. U.S.D.	1
OCEANSIDE U.S.D.	1
OLD ADOBE UNION S.D.	1
CABRILLO U.S.D.	1
CALIFORNIA VIRTUAL ACADEMIES	1
BELLA VISTA E.S.D.	1
CASCADE UNION E.S.D.	1
CENTRALIA S.D.	1
CARDIFF E.S.D.	1
CHARTER OAK U.S.D.	1
CHICO U.S.D.	1
COLUSA U.S.D.	1
CLAREMONT U.S.D.	1
ALUM ROCK UNION E.S.D.	1
ANAHEIM CITY S.D.	1
ACALANES U.H.S.D.	1
ACTON-AGUA DULCE USD	1
ALAMEDA USD	1
APPLE VALLEY U.S.D.	1
BAKERSFIELD CITY S.D.	1
BREA-OLINDA USD	1
BUENA PARK S.D.	1
BURLINGAME E.S.D.	1
FONTANA USD	1
FREMONT UNION H.S.D.	1
GLENDORA U.S.D	1
GRANT JT. UNION H.S.D.	1
GREENFIELD U.S.D.(BAKERSFIELD)	1

HACIENDA LA PUENTE USD	1
HAWTHORNE SCHOOL DISTRICT	1
DESERT SANDS U.S.D.	1
DRY CREEK JT. E.S.D.	1
COVINA-VALLEY U.S.D.	1
ENCINITAS U.S.D.	1
EL MONTE U.H.S.D.	1
EL SEGUNDO U.S.D.	1
EL TEJON U.S.D.	1
TWAIN HARTE/LONG BARN U.S.D.	1
UNION S. D.	1
VACAVILLE U.S.D.	1
VAL VERDE USD	1
TEMPLE CITY U.S.D.	1
TEMPLETON U.S.D.	1
YOUTH AUTHORITY - SPECIAL ED	1
YUBA CITY U.S.D.	1
VICTOR E.S.D.	1
WEST COVINA USD	1
WEST SIDE UNION H.S.D.	1
WHITTIER CITY S.D.	1
WHITTIER UNION H.S.D.	1
ROSEMEAD S.D.	1
SAN LORENZO U.S.D.	1
SAN MARCOS U.S.D.	1
SAN MATEO UNION HSD	1
SAN MATEO-FOSTER CITY S.D.	1
SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS	1
SALINAS UNION H.S.D.	1
SAN DIEGO CO. OFF OF ED	1
REED UNION S.D.	1
RIALTO U.S.D.	1
RIO ELEM SCH DIST	1
SCOTTS VALLEY USD	1
SANTA ROSA CITY SCHOOLS	1
SISKIYOU UNION H.S.D.	1
SO. BAY U.S.D. (IMPERIAL BCH)	1
SOLANA BEACH U.S.D.	1
SONOMA VALLEY U.S.D.	1
SANTA CLARA CO. OFFICE OF ED.	1
SAN YSIDRO S.D.	1
TOTAL	883